

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,078	04/12/2004	Debra K. Stephens	U03-0193.77	3077	
54494 75	90 11/28/2006		EXAM	INER	
MOORE AND VAN ALLEN PLLC FOR SEMC			SMITH, S	SMITH, SHEILA B	
P.O. BOX 1370	6				
430 DAVIS DRIVE, SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2617		
			DATE MAILED, LINGBOO	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/709,078	STEPHENS, DEBRA K.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sheila B. Smith	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctober 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application					
. apo	J)						

Application/Control Number: 10/709,078

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizikovsky
 (U. S. Patent Number 5,559,860) in view of Brennan (U.S. Patent Number 5,903,628).

Regarding claim 1, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses user selectable response to an incoming call at a mobile station. In addition Mizikovsky discloses a method of automatically answering a mobile phone comprising: receiving a call; detecting the calling party's phone number using a caller ID f unction (which reads on column 11 lines 40-65), determining if the calling party's phone number has been flagged as a phone number capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself (which reads on column 13 lines 1-21), and automatically answering the call if the calling party's phone number is flagged as a phone number capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself (which reads on column 12 lines 60-67). However Mizikovsky fails to disclose creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party.

In the same field of endeavor, Brennan discloses caller information controlled automatic answer feature for telephone. In addition Brennan discloses creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party (which reads on column 1 lines 24-47).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Mizikovsky by modifying the user selectable response to an incoming call at a mobile station with creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party as taught by Brennan for the purpose answering a call.

Page 3

Regarding claim 2, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses the mobile phone answers itself only after a pre-set number of rings (which reads on column 12 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 3, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses the step of determining if the calling party's phone number has been flagged as a phone number capable to answer itself comprises: of causing the mobile phone accessing a table containing one or more phone number entries that have been identified as incoming calling phone numbers answer itself (which reads on column 7 lines 21-31).

Regarding claim 4, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses the step of determining if the calling party's phone number has been flagged as a phone number capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself comprises accessing the mobile phone's contact/phonebook (which reads on 46 caller ID memory) entries phone number matches a to determine if the incoming phone number in the mobile phone's internal phonebook (which reads on column 12 lines 60-67); and if a match is found, determining whether an override flag has been set for the phone number in the mobile phone's internal phonebook, said override flag capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself (which reads on column 7 lines 21-31).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 5, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses automatically answering a mobile phone comprising: receiving a call; diverting the call to a voice mail feature if the call is not answered within a pre-set number of rings; monitoring the keypad entries input by the calling party (which reads on column 2 lines 21-31); determining if the keypad entries input by the calling party match a pre-set code; if the keypad entries input pre-set code, returning by the calling party match the control of the call to the mobile phone; and automatically answering the call (which reads on column 1 lines 13-34). However Mizikovsky fails to disclose creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party.

In the same field of endeavor, Brennan discloses caller information controlled automatic answer feature for telephone. In addition Brennan discloses creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party (which reads on column 1 lines 24-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Mizikovsky by modifying the user selectable response to an incoming call at a mobile station with creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party as taught by Brennan for the purpose answering a call.

Regarding claim 6, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses automatically answering a mobile phone comprising: means for receiving a call; means for detecting the calling party's phone number using a caller ID function (which reads on column 12 lines 60-67); means for determining if the calling party's phone number has been flagged as a phone number capable of

causing the mobile phone to answer itself, and means for automatically answering the call if the calling party's phone number is flagged as a phone number capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself (which reads on column 2 lines 21-31). However Mizikovsky fails to disclose creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party.

In the same field of endeavor, Brennan discloses caller information controlled automatic answer feature for telephone. In addition Brennan discloses creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party (which reads on column 1 lines 24-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Mizikovsky by modifying the user selectable response to an incoming call at a mobile station with creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party as taught by Brennan for the purpose answering a call.

Regarding claim 7, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses the system answers itself only after a pre-set number of rings. (which reads on column 2 lines 26-31)

Regarding claim 8, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses the means for determining if the calling party's phone number has been flagged as a phone number capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself comprises: means for accessing a table containing one or more phone number entries that have been identified as incoming calling phone numbers capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself (which reads on column 2 lines 21-31).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 9, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses the means for determining if the calling party's phone number has been flagged as a phone number capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself comprises means for accessing the mobile phone's contact/phonebook entries to determine if the incoming phone number matches a phone number in the mobile phone's internal phonebook, and if a match is found, means for determining whether an override flag has been set for the phone number in the mobile phone's internal phonebook, said override flag capable of causing the mobile phone to answer itself (which reads on column 12 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 10, Mizikovsky discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Mizikovsky discloses automatically answering a mobile phone co comprising: means for receiving a call; means for diverting the call to a voice mail feature if the call is not answered within a pre-set number of rings; means for monitoring the keypad entries input by the calling party, means for determining if the keypad entries input by the calling party match a pre-set code (which reads on column 12 lines 60-67); if the keypad entries input by the calling party match the pre-set code, means for returning control of the call to the mobile phone; and means for automatically answering the call (which reads on column 7 lines 21-31). However Mizikovsky fails to disclose creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party.

In the same field of endeavor, Brennan discloses caller information controlled automatic answer feature for telephone. In addition Brennan discloses creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party (which reads on column 1 lines 24-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,078 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Mizikovsky by modifying the user selectable response to an incoming call at a mobile station with creating a full-duplex connection with the calling party as taught by Brennan for the purpose answering a call.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,078

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-7847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Smith

November 26, 2006

JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER